

Reference CAS-8372760-P5L5Y8

I remain dissatisfied with the Stage 1 response and ask for this complaint to be considered further. The response did not address the substance of my complaint. My complaint concerned both due accuracy and due impartiality. On accuracy, the response cited the Vaccine paper's scope statement but did not address the specific factual issue raised: whether the paper's authors were in fact 'specifically told' not to use the findings in the way described. On impartiality, the response did not engage with the complaint about the programme's repeated claim–rebuttal–summary structure, asymmetrical language, and allocation of authority between Dr Malhotra and institutional expertise. Reliance on 'scientific consensus' and an unnamed epidemiologist does not resolve those issues. I ask the BBC to address the complaint as submitted, including whether the 'specifically told' claim can be substantiated, who the unnamed epidemiologist was, what they reviewed, and whether the programme's cumulative framing met due impartiality standards.